I			
1	Robert J. Lauson, Esq., SBN 175,486		
2	bob@lauson.com Edwin P. Tarver, Esq., SBN 201,943		
3	edwin@lauson.com LAUSON & TARVER LLP		
	880 Apollo Street, Suite 301 El Segundo, California 90245		
5	Tel. (310) 726-0892 Fax (310) 726-0893		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff, Voice International, Inc.		
7	David Grober		
8	davidgrober1@gmail.com 578 West Washington Blvd., Suite 866 Marina Del Rey, CA 90292		
9	Tel. (310) 822-1100		
10	Plaintiff, Pro se		
11	Mark J. Young, Esq. Mark Young, P.A. 1638 Camden Avenue	James E. Doroshow (SBN 112920) jdoroshow@foxrothschild.com	
12	1638 Camden Avenue Jacksonville, FL 32225	Ashe Puri (SBN 297814) apuri@foxrothschild.com	
13	Telephone: 904-996-8099 Facsimile: 904-980-9234	FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP 1800 Century Park East, Suite 300	
14	myoungpa@gmail.com FL Bar 78158	Los Angeles, CA 90067-1506 Telephone: 310-598-4150 Facsimile: 310-556-9828	
15	Pro Hac Vice Application Filed	Facsimile: 310-556-9828	
16	Attorneys for Defendants, Jordan Klein, Sr.; Jordan Klein, Jr.; John	Attorneys for Oppenheimer Defendants, (Local Counsel to Florida Defendants)	
17	Dann; and Oceanic Production Equipment Ltd. ("Florida Defendants")		
18	IINITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT	
19	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA WESTERN DIVISION		
20	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALL	FORMA WESTERN DIVISION	
21		1	
22	VOICE INTERNATIONAL, INC., a	Case No.: 2:15-cv-08830-JAK-KS	
23	VOICE INTERNATIONAL, INC., a California corporation; DAVID GROBER, an individual,		
24	Plaintiffs,	Joint Status Report	
25	vs.		
26	OPPENHEIMER CINE RENTAL,		
27	LLC, et al.		
28	Defendants		

## **Joint Status Report**

Per Doc. 118, Plaintiffs served jurisdictional discovery and deposition notices on the Florida Defendants on March 17<sup>th</sup>. Plaintiff Grober, who represents himself in this action, will be out of the country on a U.S. naval research project, starting March 21, with an expected return date of April 26<sup>th</sup>. Plaintiffs propose that the depositions be scheduled the next week after he returns from his travels on May 3-5. Despite Defendants' attorneys' arguments below, who represent all Defendants, to the contrary, Plaintiffs are not requesting any additional time beyond that allowed in the Court's civil minutes dated March 7, 2017, Doc. 118. If deemed appropriate by the Court, Plaintiffs do not oppose a short continuance on the Markman Hearing.

Defendants oppose Plaintiffs' request for an additional five weeks to complete jurisdictional discovery in this action. Defendants contend Mr. Grober has retained counsel, Robert Lauson and Edwin Tarver of Lauson Tarver LLP, who represent his company, Plaintiff Voice International Inc., in this action as Plaintiff's counsel of record. Even accepting Mr. Grober's representation as true that he is traveling, this explanation certainly does not justify an additional five weeks to complete discovery, particularly when Mr. Grober has two other attorneys, Robert Lauson and Edwin Tarver of Lauson Tarver LLP, available to conduct depositions.

Furthermore, Defendants contend Plaintiffs' proposed schedule conflicts with the deadlines set by this court for Markman. The Court has scheduled a Markman Hearing on June 5, 2017. In the event this Court rules that it has jurisdiction over the Florida Defendants, the Florida Defendants must be given the opportunity to consider whether they will submit their own briefing for Markman. Under Plaintiffs' proposed schedule, the Florida Defendants reply brief on jurisdiction is due on May 25, 2017 with the Markman Hearing scheduled on June 4, 2017. Under Plaintiffs' proposed schedule, the Florida Defendants would be deprived

from any opportunity to submit their own claim construction arguments since the Court will not likely rule on the issue of jurisdiction until after the Markman Hearing concludes.

Plaintiffs also make a number of other egregious statements above. Among them, Plaintiffs claim they are not requesting any additional time beyond that allowed in the Court's civil minutes dated March 7, 2017, Doc. 118, but mislead this Court by failing to acknowledge that they are asking this Court to change other deadlines that have now passed including the deadline to add parties. The deadline to add parties was January 16, 2017 (Dkt. No. 091). That deadline has now passed. There is absolutely no reason to extend this deadline.

In addition, Plaintiffs have engaged in various acts of misconduct in order to hide their true motives in trying to improperly extend the court's deadlines that have now passed, including in the course of them preparing this joint statement. Among them, shortly before the parties were prepared to submit this joint statement, Plaintiffs removed an entire paragraph of Defendants' statement where Defendants had addressed Plaintiffs' improper request to extend the deadline to add parties. Without informing Defendants that they removed Defendants' argument, Plaintiffs sent Defendants a non-redlined version of their changes without acknowledging that they had removed Defendants' section. Defendants had asked Plaintiffs to send them a redlined version. At first, Plaintiffs failed to even respond to Defendants' request only to later inform Defendants that they had no intention of sending them a redlined version or otherwise acknowledging that they had removed this section. Instead, Plaintiffs informed Defendants that Defendants were response to find out on their own about the changes Plaintiffs made to the Joint Statement. According to Plaintiffs' counsel Robert Lauson,

"Please read the short document and work with what you have. Valerie (Mr. Lauson's secretary") is unavailable at this moment. If you want a redline, you can have your secretary make one."

Aside from this, Plaintiffs also misstate, above, that Defendants' attorneys represent all Defendants. This is incorrect. Mark Young does not represent the Oppenheimer Defendants. He only represents the Florida Defendants. Fox Rothschild serves as outside counsel for the Oppenheimer Defendants and only serves as local counsel for the Florida Defendants.

The parties have conferred, but do not agree on a schedule. The parties therefore propose the following schedule:

	Plaintiffs' Proposed Schedule	Defendants' Proposed Schedule
Def's Jurisdictional	March 31, 2017	March 31, 2017
Discovery Reponses		
Due.		
Jurisdictional	May 3-5, 2017	By April 10, 2017
Depositions of Florida		
Defendants		
Plaintiffs'	May 15, 2017	April 20, 2017
Supplemental Brief	Way 13, 2017	11pm 20, 2017
Due.		
Defendants' Reply Due	May 25, 2017,	April 27, 2017
Last day to add parties.	May 25, 2017, 10 days after the	January 16, 2017
	completion of jurisdictional	(Dkt. No. 091)
	discovery per the Court's Order,	(deadline has now
	Doc. 118	passed).
Markman Hearing	June 5, 2017	June 5, 2017 (Dkt.
		No. 118).
Anticipated Ruling to	July 5, 2017	TBD
be Issued on Markman		
Hearing		
Patentee's Deadline to	July 12, 2017	July 12, 2017
File Final Infringement		

1	Contentions, Expert		
1	Reports on Issues		
2	Where Patentee has		
3	Burden of Proof, All		
	Parties File Advice of		
4	Counsel Disclosures.		
5			
	Accused Infringer's	August 11, 2017	August 11, 2017
6	Deadline to File Final	_	-
7	Invalidity Contentions,		
8	Rebuttal Expert		
	Reports, and Opening		
9	Expert Reports Where		
10	Accused Infringer has		
	Burden of Proof		
11	Patentee's Deadline for	September 11, 2017	September 11, 2017
12	Rebuttal Expert		
13	Reports on Issues		
	Where Accused		
14	Infringer has Burden of		
15	Proof	0 1 11 2017	0 1 11 2017
16	Last day to serve	October 11, 2017	September 11, 2017
	discovery		(if by personal
17	Discovery Cut off		service)
18	Discovery Cut-off	November 10, 2017	October 11, 2017 November 10, 2017
	Last day to file motions (including	November 10, 2017	November 10, 2017
19	discovery motions)		
20	Last day to hear	January 10, 2018	January 10, 2018
21	motions (including	January 10, 2016	January 10, 2010
	discovery motions)		
22	Anticipated ruling on	January 31, 2018	TBD
23	all motions	Julium 51, 2010	
	Last day to file all	February 15, 2018	February 15, 2018
24	pretrial documents		
25	Final Pretrial	March 1, 2018	March 1, 2018
26	Conference, Status	· , ·	, , =
	Conference Re		
27	Exhibits, and Hearing		
28	on Motions in Limine		

Jury Trial	March 26, 2018	March 26, 2018
	William 20, 2010	March 20, 2010
2		
3 March 21, 2017	/-/ D: 1 C	nobon
4 March 21, 201'	<u>/s/ David  G</u> David Grobe	
5	In Pro Per	
6		
7	LAUSON &	z TARVER, LLP
8 March 21, 201	By: /s/ Robe	ert Lauson
9	Robert Laus Attorneys fo	on, Esq. or Plaintiff, Voice International
0	11001110 / 10	,
1	FOX ROTH	ISCHILD, LLP
2 March 21, 201		
3	Ashe Puri, E	Esq. or Oppenheimer Defendants,
4	(Local Coun	or Oppenneimer Defendants, usel to Florida Defendants)
5	· ·	,
6		
7		
8		
9		
0		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
<b>II</b>		
8		